

Meeting Agenda

Date: April 6, 2021 Time: 1:00-3:00 pm

Location: ONLINE Topic: Funding and Governance Work Group

Meeting Objectives

1. Discuss specific governance frameworks options for the Estuary and Hybrid alternatives. 1

- 2. Explore whether there is consensus around a particular governance framework for the Estuary and Hybrid Alternatives, or at minimum, agree to eliminate governance frameworks that are not suitable.
- 3. Discuss implications of selecting a preferred governance framework for funding options.
- 4. Confirm commitment to selecting a preferred governance framework for the preferred alternative once it is identified and discuss whether this commitment could be included in the Draft EIS.
- 5. Identify next steps in the EIS process, and with the FGWG following release of the Draft EIS.

Meeting Agenda — AGENDA SUBJECT TO CHANGE

1:00	Welcome (5 mins)
1:05	Discuss how governance strategy may differ among EIS alternatives (10 mins)
1:15	Presentation and discussion of <i>Interlocal Agreement</i> governance model option by alternative (60 mins)
2:15	Discuss summary statement on governance for Draft EIS (25 mins)
2:40	EIS and FGWG next steps (15 mins)
2:55	Public comment (5 mins)
3:00	Adjourn

¹ This meeting will focus on governance for the Estuary and Hybrid Alternatives because they would require the largest shift in governance compared to existing conditions and the Managed Lake, which may be governed more similar to status quo. Additionally, given the potential impacts from sediment transport under the Estuary or Hybrid Alternatives, it is especially critical to demonstrate that there is a viable governance approach that could implement maintenance dredging and other management actions.

Guiding Principles from 2016 Phase 1 Report

- Dedicated and secure funding sources.
- Those who contribute to the problem should participate in funding or paying for the solution.
- Those who benefit from the solution should participate in funding or paying for the solution.
- Shared distribution of costs.
- State participation.
- Watershed-wide in scale.
- Manageable governance structure.
- Commitment to a long-term collaborative process.
- Adequately resourced administration.
- Support the goals and objectives of both the CL/LDW management plan, as well as goals for the future of the overall watershed.