

# **Table of Contents**

1.0	Int	roduction, Project Background, & History	1-1
	1.1	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS PROJECT?	1-1
	1.2	WHY IS AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT NEEDED?	1-2
	1.3	WHAT ALTERNATIVES ARE BEING CONSIDERED?	1-2
	1.4	WHAT IS THE PROJECT AREA?	1-3
	1.5	WHO IS THE LEAD AGENCY FOR THIS EIS?	1-5
	1.6	WHICH GOVERNMENTAL & AGENCY PARTNERS HAVE BEEN INVOLVE THE EIS PROCESS?	D IN 1-5
	1.7	WHAT EFFORTS HAVE BEEN MADE BY GOVERNMENTAL & AGENCY PARTNERS TO ADDRESS ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS WITHIN CAPILLAKE?	TOL 1-6
	1.8	WHAT IS THE PROJECT PURPOSE, AS ESTABLISHED IN PHASE 1?	1-14
	1.9	WHAT ARE THE GOALS FOR THE LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT ALTERNATIVES?	1-15
	1.10	HOW WAS THIS EIS AUTHORIZED?	1-16
	1.11	HOW WAS PUBLIC INPUT CONSIDERED ON THE SCOPE OF THIS EIS?	1-17
	1.12	HOW WILL A PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE BE SELECTED & WHAT IS THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS?	1-18
	1.13	WHAT ARE THE NEXT STEPS AFTER THE DRAFT EIS?	1-22
	1.14	HOW IS THIS EIS ORGANIZED?	1-23

2.0	<b>Project Alternatives &amp; Construction Approach</b>	2-1
	2.1 HOW WERE THE ALTERNATIVES DEVELOPED?	2-2
	2.2 WHAT ARE THE PROJECT ALTERNATIVES?	2-5
	2.3 WHAT ARE THE PRIMARY COMPONENTS COMMON TO ALL ACTION ALTERNATIVES?	2-17
	2.4 WHAT CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES WILL TAKE PLACE IN THE ACTION ALTERNATIVES?	2-34
	2.5 WHAT IS THE APPROACH TO LONG-TERM SEDIMENT MANAGEMENT?	2-52
3.0	Existing Conditions & Affected Environment	3-1
	3.1 HYDRODYNAMICS & SEDIMENT TRANSPORT	3-1
	3.2 NAVIGATION	3-7
	3.3 WATER QUALITY	3-15
	3.4 AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES	3-46
	3.5 FISH & WILDLIFE	3-59
	3.6 WETLANDS	3-70
	3.7 AIR QUALITY & ODOR	3-77
	3.8 LAND USE, SHORELINES, & RECREATION	3-81
	3.9 CULTURAL RESOURCES	3-90
	3.10 VISUAL RESOURCES	3-100
	3.11 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	3-109
	3.12 TRANSPORTATION	3-118
	3.13 PUBLIC SERVICES & UTILITIES	3-124
	3.14 ECONOMICS	3-129
4.0	Long-Term Impacts, Benefits, & Mitigation	4-1
	4.1 HYDRODYNAMICS & SEDIMENT TRANSPORT	4-1
	4.2 NAVIGATION	4-14

	4.3 WATER QUALITY	4-34
	4.4 AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES	4-49
	4.5 FISH & WILDLIFE	4-63
	4.6 WETLANDS	4-77
	4.7 AIR QUALITY & ODOR	4-85
	4.8 LAND USE, SHORELINES, & RECREATION	4-97
	4.9 CULTURAL RESOURCES	4-113
	4.10 VISUAL RESOURCES	4-125
	4.11 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	4-149
	4.12 TRANSPORTATION	4-163
	4.13 PUBLIC SERVICES & UTILITIES	4-173
	4.14 ECONOMICS	4-180
5.0	Short-Term Impacts & Mitigation	5-1
	5.1 HYDRODYNAMICS & SEDIMENT TRANSPORT	5-1
	5.2 NAVIGATION	5-2
	5.3 WATER QUALITY	5-2
	5.4 AQUATIC INVASIVE SPECIES	5-12
	5.5 FISH & WILDLIFE	5-17
	5.6 WETLANDS	5-27
	5.7 AIR QUALITY & ODOR	5-33
	5.8 LAND USE, SHORELINES, & RECREATION	5-39
	5.9 CULTURAL RESOURCES	5-48
	5.10 VISUAL RESOURCES	5-56
	5.11 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH	5-62
	5.12 TRANSPORTATION	5-68
	5.13 PUBLIC SERVICES & UTILITIES	5-80
	5.14 ECONOMICS	5-86

6.0	Cumulative Effects	6-1
	6.1 WHAT IS THE STUDY AREA & TIME HORIZON FOR THIS ANALYSIS?	6-1
	6.2 WHAT WAS THE APPROACH TO ANALYZE CUMULATIVE EFFECTS?	6-2
	6.3 WHAT ARE THE POTENTIAL ADVERSE IMPACTS OF THE PROJECT?	6-2
	6.4 WHAT PAST ACTIONS OCCURRED IN THE WATERBODY?	6-3
	6.5 WHAT FUTURE PROJECTS ARE REASONABLY FORESEEABLE?	6-4
	6.6 WHAT ARE THE CUMULATIVE EFFECTS FOR THIS PROJECT?	6-11
7.0	Planning-Level Costs, Funding Recommendations, & Other Considerations	7-1
	7.1 WHAT IMPORTANT FACTORS ARE ASSUMED IN THE PLANNING-LEVEL COSTS?	7-1
	7.2 WHAT ARE THE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUNDING CONSTRUCTION & LONG-TERM MANAGEMENT?	& 7-7
	7.3 WHAT OTHER FACTORS SHOULD BE CONSIDERED?	7-10
0.8	Engagement with Work Groups, Community	
	Sounding Board, & State Government	8-1
	8.1 HOW WERE STAKEHOLDERS INVOLVED IN THIS EIS?	8-1
	8.2 WHAT ARE THE ROLES OF THE EXECUTIVE & TECHNICAL WORK GROUP	S? 8-2
	8.3 WHAT IS THE ROLE OF THE FUNDING & GOVERNANCE WORK GROUP?	8-11
	8.4 WHAT IS THE ROLE OF THE COMMUNITY SOUNDING BOARD?	8-18
	8.5 HOW ARE THE LEGISLATIVE & EXECUTIVE BRANCHES OF STATE GOVERNMENT ENGAGED BY ENTERPRISE SERVICES?	8-26
9.0	Permits & Approvals for Implementation of a Preferred Alternative	9-1

Long-Term Management Project Environmental Impact Statement

# **Figures**

Figure	1.1.1	Project Area	1-4
Figure	1.4.1	Timeline of Key Governmental & Agency Efforts Related to Management of Capitol Lake – Deschutes Estuary	1-7
Figure	1.8.1	Decision-Making Process for the Preferred Alternative	1-21
Figure	1.9.1	Long-Term Management Planning Process	1-23
Figure	2.1.1	Measurable Evaluation Process for the Environmental Impact Statement	2-4
Figure	2.2.1	Managed Lake Alternative Overview	2-6
Figure	2.2.2	Managed Lake Alternative Visual Simulation	2-7
Figure	2.2.3	Estuary Alternative Overview	2-11
Figure	2.2.4	Estuary Alternative Visual Simulation at Mean Tide	2-12
Figure	2.2.5	Hybrid Alternative Overview	2-14
Figure	2.2.6	Hybrid Alternative Visual Simulation	2-15
Figure	2.4.1	Location of Primary Construction Activities & Conceptual Schedule – Manage Lake Alternative	ed 2-36
Figure	2.4.2	Location of Primary Construction Activities & Conceptual Schedule – Estuary Alternative	2-37
Figure	2.4.3	Location of Primary Construction Activities & Conceptual Schedule – Hybrid Alternative	2-38
Figure	2.4.4	Deschutes Parkway Realignment & New 5th Avenue Bridge	2-46
Figure	3.1.1	Water Levels during Extreme River & Tidal Floods under Existing Conditions	3-3
Figure	3.1.2	Total Sediment Erosion & Deposition	3-6
Figure	3.2.1	Navigational Resources in West Bay	3-8
Figure	3.2.2	Budd Inlet Vessel Traffic Patterns	3-9
Figure	3.2.3	Estimated Existing Average Annual Sediment Erosion/Deposition Rates (cm/yr	r)3-12
Figure	3.3.1	Comparison of Capitol Lake to Other Thurston County Lakes Using Summer 2 to 2014 Data	010 3-29
Figure	3.3.2	2004 Total Nitrogen and DIN Concentrations in the Deschutes River near Tumwater Falls & the North Basin of Capitol Lake	3-31

Figure 3.3.3 Deschutes River & Capitol Lake TOC Concentrations from 2004 and 2019	3-32
Figure 3.3.4 Areas of Interest to Water Quality Discipline Study	3-40
Figure 3.3.5 Ecology-Modeling of Dissolved Oxygen Depletion Resulting in Water Qualit Violations	ty 3-43
Figure 3.4.1 Purple Loosestrife Distribution in Capitol Lake in 2018	3-50
Figure 3.4.2 Eurasian Watermilfoil Distribution in Capitol Lake in 2018	3-52
Figure 3.5.1A Wildlife Habitats - North	3-66
Figure 3.5.1B Wildlife Habitats - South	3-67
Figure 3.6.1 Historic Condition of Capitol Lake Basin	3-72
Figure 3.6.2A Existing Wetland Types – North	3-74
Figure 3.6.2B Existing Wetland Types – South	3-75
Figure 3.8.1 Map of Existing Land Uses	3-83
Figure 3.8.2 Map of Existing Zoning	3-85
Figure 3.8.3 Map of Existing Shoreline Designations	3-87
Figure 3.8.4 Map of Recreation Sites	3-89
Figure 3.9.1 Flagg & Wilder & White Visions	3-95
Figure 3.9.2 Historic Districts & Listed Historic Resources	3-98
Figure 3.10.1 Visual Resource Photo Locations	3-102
Figure 3.11.1 Sediment Sample Locations	3-111
Figure 3.11.2 Surface Sediment Sample, Outfall, & Cleanup Site Locations in West Bay	3-115
Figure 3.12.1 Street & Freight Network	3-119
Figure 3.12.2 Pedestrian Network	3-122
Figure 3.12.3 Bike Network	3-123
Figure 3.13.1 Capitol Lake Stormwater Outfall Locations	3-128
Figure 3.14.1 Employment by Sector in Thurston County	3-132
Figure 3.14.2 Properties North of 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Dam Considered in Downstream Economic A Analysis	Activity 3-135

Figure 4.1.1 Comparison of Maximum Water Levels for an Extreme River Flood Event by Alternative	4-9
Figure 4.1.2 Comparison of Maximum Water Levels for an Extreme Tidal Flood Event by Alternative	, 4-10
Figure 4.1.3 Annual Deposition/Erosion Patterns by Alternative	4-13
Figure 4.2.1 Navigational Resources in West Bay & Areas of Maintenance Dredging	4-17
Figure 4.2.2 Erosion/Deposition Pattern (cm/yr) for Event A with 0.61 meters of RSLR	4-22
Figure 4.2.3 Erosion/Deposition Pattern (cm/yr) for Event B with 0.61 meters of RSLR	4-23
Figure 4.10.1 North Overlook Visual Simulation (KVP NB-2) – Managed Lake Alternative	4-129
Figure 4.10.2 Interpretive Center Visual Simulation (KVP MB-1) – Managed Lake Alternative	4-130
Figure 4.10.3 Eastern Washington Butte at High Tide Visual Simulation (KVP NB-1) – Est Alternative	uary 4-134
Figure 4.10.4 Eastern Washington Butte at Mean Tide Visual Simulation (KVP NB-1) – Es Alternative	stuary 4-135
Figure 4.10.5 Eastern Washington Butte at Low Tide Visual Simulation (KVP NB-1) – Estu Alternative	Jary 4-136
Figure 4.10.6 North Overlook at High Tide Visual Simulation (KVP NB-2) – Estuary Alternative	4-137
Figure 4.10.7 North Overlook at Mean Tide Visual Simulation (KVP NB-2) – Estuary Alternative	4-138
Figure 4.10.8 North Overlook at Low Tide Visual Simulation (KVP NB-2) – Estuary Alternative	4-139
Figure 4.10.9 Interpretive Center at High Tide Visual Simulation (KVP MB-1) – Estuary Alternative	4-140
Figure 4.10.10 Interpretive Center at Mean Tide Visual Simulation (KVP MB-1) – Estuary Alternative	y 4-141
Figure 4.10.11 Interpretive Center at Low Tide Visual Simulation (KVP MB-1) – Estuary Alternative	4-142
Figure 4.10.12 Marathon Park at High Tide (KVP NB-3) – Hybrid Alternative	4-144
Figure 4.10.13 Marathon Park at Low Tide Visual Simulation (KVP NB-3) – Hybrid Alternative	4-145

Figure 4.10.14 North Overlook at High Tide Visual Simulation (KVP NB-2) – Hybrid Alternative	4-146
Figure 4.10.15 North Overlook at Low Tide Visual Simulation (KVP NB-2) – Hybrid Alternative	4-147
Figure 4.11.1 Modeled Future Sediment Deposition & Existing Surface Contamination in I Inlet	Budd 4-155
Figure 5.8.1 Construction Staging for Action Alternatives	5-42
Figure 5.12.1 Potential Truck Haul Routes	5-71
Figure 5.12.2 Potential Traffic Detours During 5 <sup>th</sup> Avenue Bridge Closure	5-74
Figure 6.4.1 Historical Condition of the Capitol Lake Basin	6-5
Figure 8.1.1 Project Process Map	8-3
Figure 8.2.1 Results of Criteria Prioritization Exercise during Executive & Technical Work Group Meetings (May 2021)	8-11
Figure 8.4.1 Results of Criteria Prioritization Exercise during Community Sounding Board Meeting (May 2021)	8-26
Tables	
Table 2.1.1 Objective Criteria Used in the Measurable Evaluation Process	2-3
Table 2.3.1 Comparison of Dredging during Construction	2-20
Table 2.3.2 Expected Maintenance Dredging Schedule & Locations under the Estuary   Alternative	2-21
Table 2.3.3 Expected Maintenance Dredging Schedule & Locations under the Hybrid   Alternative	2-22
Table 2.3.4 Comparison of Recurring Dredging	2-23
Table 2.3.5 Total Area of Habitat Types in Middle & North Basins after Construction	2-27
Table 2.3.6 Comparison of the Water Quality Approach Across Action Alternatives	2-30
Table 2.3.7 Initial Sediment Management (dredging during construction)	2-32
Table 2.3.8 Long-Term Sediment Management (projected over a 30-year time horizon)	2-33
Table 2.3.9 Ecological Functions	2-33
Table 2.3.10 Water Quality	2-34

Table 2.3.11 Recreational Use	2-34
Table 2.4.1 Commonly Used Construction Equipment	2-51
Table 3.1.1 Annual Volume of Sediment Accumulation in Capitol Lake	3-5
Table 3.2.1 Average Annual Sediment Deposition in West Bay for Existing Conditions, Predicted by Numerical Model	3-14
Table 3.3.1 Comparison of Capitol Lake Data to Washington State Surface Water Qual Standards <sup>(1)</sup>	ity 3-23
Table 3.3.2 Comparison of Capitol Lake Data & Trophic State Thresholds for Lakes	3-25
Table 3.3.3 Comparison of 2019 Capitol Lake Water Quality Data to 2010–2014 Data	a 3-26
Table 3.3.4 Comparison of 2019 Bacteria Concentrations in Capitol Lake to Washington Surface Water Quality Standards	State 3-27
Table 3.3.5 Average Summer Water Quality Conditions in the Deschutes River & Capitol in 2019	Lake 3-30
Table 3.3.6 Comparison of Budd Inlet Water Quality with Applicable Standards (May th October)	nrough 3-41
Table 3.3.7 Percent of Total DIN Loading to Budd Inlet by Source & Season	3-44
Table 3.4.1 High Priority Aquatic Invasive Species Observed in the Study Area	3-48
Table 3.4.2 Non-High Priority Aquatic Invasive Species Observed in the Study Area	3-58
Table 3.5.1 Fish Species Potentially Present in the Study Area	3-61
Table 3.5.2 Bird Species & Species Groups Present in the Study Area	3-68
Table 3.5.3 Mammal Species & Species Groups Present in the Study Area	3-69
Table 3.6.1 Wetland & Other Habitat Types in the Study Area under Existing Conditions	3-73
Table 3.12.1 Streets & Corresponding Average Daily Trips in the Transportation Study Area	3-118
Table 3.14.1 Current Population & Population Change between 2010 and 2018	3-131
Table 3.14.2 Real Median Household Income (2018 Inflation-Adjusted Dollars)	3-133
Table 4.1.1 Comparison of Maximum Water Levels for an Extreme River Flood Event by   Alternative	4-11
Table 4.1.2 Comparison of Maximum Water Levels for an Extreme Tidal Flood Event by Alternative	4-11

Table 4.1.3 (	Comparison of Average Annual Sediment Deposition/Erosion (Without RSRL <sup>1</sup>	) 4-12
Table 4.2.1 /	Average Annual Sediment Deposition in West Bay for the No Action & Manc Lake Alternatives (inch each year (cm each year))	iged 4-15
Table 4.2.2 1	No Action Alternative Maintenance Dredging in West Bay	4-16
Table 4.2.3 /	Average Annual Sediment Deposition in West Bay for the No Action & Estua Alternatives (inch each year (cm each year))	ry 4-20
Table 4.2.4 /	Average Annual Sediment Erosion/Deposition for Modeling Events A & B	4-21
Table 4.2.5 /	Anticipated Maintenance Dredging in West Bay for the Estuary Alternative	4-25
Table 4.2.6	Average Annual Sediment Deposition in West Bay for the No Action, Estuary Hybrid Alternatives	, & 4-28
Table 4.2.7 /	Anticipated Maintenance Dredging in West Bay for the Hybrid Alternative	4-30
Table 4.3.1 \$	Summary of Long-Term Water Quality Impacts: Managed Lake Alternative	4-38
Table 4.3.2 \$	Summary of Long-Term Water Quality Impacts: Estuary Alternative	4-42
Table 4.5.1 \$	Summary of Long-Term Impacts: Managed Lake Alternative	4-67
Table 4.5.2 \$	Summary of Long-Term Impacts: Estuary Alternative	4-71
Table 4.5.3 \$	Summary of Long-Term Impacts: Hybrid Alternative	4-73
Table 4.6.1 \$	Summary of Long-Term Impacts: Managed Lake Alternative	4-81
Table 4.6.2 \$	Summary of Long-Term Impacts: Estuary Alternative	4-82
Table 4.6.3 \$	Summary of Long-Term Impacts: Hybrid Alternative	4-83
Table 4.7.1 /	Managed Lake Alternative's Long-Term Impacts – Upland Disposal	4-89
Table 4.7.2 I	Estimated GHG Emissions (MTCO2e) – Managed Lake Alternative	4-90
Table 4.7.3 I	Estuary Alternative Maximum Annual Air Pollutant Emissions – Upland Disposal	4-93
Table 4.7.4 I	Estimated GHG Emissions (MTCO <sub>2</sub> e) – Estuary Alternative	4-94
Table 4.7.5 I	Estimated GHG Emissions (MTCO <sub>2</sub> e) – Hybrid Alternative	4-96
Table 4.11.1	Average Annual Sediment Deposition in Budd Inlet for Modeling without Re Sea Level Rise (inches per year (cm per year))	lative 4-154
Table 4.12.1	Export Dredge Volume & Truck Trips Generated by Maintenance Dredging the Managed Lake Alternative	for 4-167
Table 4.12.2	2 Summary of Long-Term Impacts: Managed Lake Alternative	4-167

Table 4.12.3 Export Dredge Volume & Truck Trips Generated by Maintenance Dredging the Estuary Alternative	for 4-168
Table 4.12.4 Summary of Long-Term Impacts: Estuary Alternative	4-170
Table 4.12.5 Export Dredge Volume & Truck Trips Generated by Maintenance Dredgingthe Hybrid Alternative	for 4-171
Table 4.12.6 Summary of Long-Term Impacts: Hybrid Alternative	4-172
Table 4.14.1 Summary of Long-Term Impacts: No Action Alternative	4-183
Table 4.14.2 Planning-Level Cost Estimates by Alternative	4-184
Table 4.14.3 Summary of Long-Term Economic Impacts: Managed Lake Alternative	4-190
Table 4.14.4 Summary of Long-Term Impacts: Estuary Alternative	4-191
Table 4.14.5 Summary of Long-Term Impacts: Hybrid Alternative	4-193
Table 5.3.1 Comparison of Construction Impacts from Initial Dredging	5-4
Table 5.5.1 Comparison of Construction Impacts from Initial Dredging	5-18
Table 5.7.1 Construction Air Pollutant Emission Results: Managed Lake Alternative	5-35
Table 5.7.2 Construction Air Pollutant Emission Results – Estuary Alternative	5-36
Table 5.7.3 Construction Air Pollutant Emission Results – Hybrid Alternative	5-37
Table 5.12.1 Summary of Construction Impacts Common to All Action Alternatives	5-75
Table 5.14.1 Planning Level Costs for Design, Permitting, & Construction	5-88
Table 5.14.2 Summary of Construction Impacts: Managed Lake Alternative	5-90
Table 5.14.3 Summary of Construction Impacts: Estuary Alternative	5-91
Table 5.14.4 Summary of Construction Impacts: Hybrid Alternative	5-92
Table 6.3.1 Potential Adverse Impacts	6-3
Table 6.5.1 Reasonably Foreseeable Projects	6-6
Table 7.1.1 Planning-Level Cost Estimates for the Project Alternatives	7-5
Table 9.1.1 Federal Environmental Permits & Approvals	9-2
Table 9.1.2 State Environmental Permits & Approvals, in addition to this state-led SEPA EIS	5 9-4
Table 9.1.3 Local Environmental Permits & Approvals	9-6

# **Attachments**

- Attachment 1 List of Abbreviations
- Attachment 2 List of Preparers
- Attachment 3 Distribution List
- Attachment 4 References
- Attachment 5 Hydrodynamics and Sediment Transport Discipline Report
- Attachment 6 Navigation Discipline Report
- Attachment 7 Water Quality Discipline Report
- Attachment 8 Aquatic Invasive Species Discipline Report
- Attachment 9 Fish and Wildlife Discipline Report
- Attachment 10 Wetlands Discipline Report
- Attachment 11 Air Quality and Odor Discipline Report
- Attachment 12 Land Use, Shorelines, and Recreation Discipline Report
- Attachment 13 Cultural Resources Discipline Report
- Attachment 14 Visual Resources Discipline Report
- Attachment 15 Sediment Quality Discipline Report
- Attachment 16 Transportation Discipline Report
- Attachment 17 Public Services and Utilities Discipline Report
- Attachment 18 Economics Discipline Report
- Attachment 19 Measurable Evaluation Process Summary of Concept Screening
- Attachment 20 Scoping Report